# PTB MaP 04d

# **DRAFT** Example of an Educational Supervisor Report for Internal Medicine This example focuses on the IM clinical CiPs and other sections of the ESR have not been included

Trainee Name	Dr X
Trainee GMC number	123456
Specialty	Internal Medicine
Supervisor Name	Dr Y
Period covered by this report	03/08/2019 - 30/06/2020
Grade	IMY2

# **Clinical CiPs**

Please rate the trainee's progress against each CiP using the levels below. **Detailed comments must be given to support any entrustment decision that is at a lower level than that expected for a trainee at this stage of training** – please refer to the grid of expected levels in the ARCP decision aid.

**Detailed comments must be given to support** entrustment decisions that are at or above the level expected. Comments are encouraged (but not mandated) for all assessments especially to celebrate excellence in those performing above expectation.

Level descriptors for clinical CiPs

## Level 1: Entrusted to observe only – no provision of clinical care

**Level 2: Entrusted to act with direct supervision:** The trainee may provide clinical care, but the supervising physician is physically within the hospital or other site of patient care and is immediately available if required to provide direct bedside supervision

**Level 3: Entrusted to act with indirect supervision:** The trainee may provide clinical care when the supervising physician is not physically present within the hospital or other site of patient care, but is available by means of telephone and/or electronic media to provide advice, and can attend at the bedside if required to provide direct supervision **Level 4: Entrusted to act unsupervised** 

## **Clinical CiPs**

## 1. Managing an acute unselected take

- Demonstrates professional behaviour with regard to patients, carers, colleagues and others
- Delivers patient centred care including shared decision making
- Takes a relevant patient history including patient symptoms, concerns, priorities and preferences
- Performs accurate clinical examinations
- Shows appropriate clinical reasoning by analysing physical and psychological findings
- Formulates an appropriate differential diagnosis
- Formulates an appropriate diagnostic and management plan, taking into account patient preferences, and the urgency required

•	ig behind diagnostic an	d clinical management decisi	ons to patients/care	ers/guardians and
other colleagues	anagos and interprets i	nyostigations		
<ul> <li>Appropriately selects, m</li> <li>Becognises need to liaise</li> </ul>		s and refers where appropria	te	
Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	
		V		
For IMY1: Do you anticipate	that the trainee will be	e able to manage the acute u	inselected take	Yes / No
with indirect supervision by	end of IMY2?			
For IMY2: Do you entrust the	e trainee to manage the	e acute unselected take with	n indirect	YES
supervision? This decision me	ust be made on the bas	sis of all evidence available to	you as ES,	
including at least 3 MCRs write	tten by consultants who	o have personally supervised	the trainee in	
an acute 'take/post-take' set	ting. Simulation training	g and personal observation n	nay also be	
relevant.				
For IMY3: Do you entrust the	-			
supervision? This decision m			•	
including at least 3 MCRs write	•			
an acute 'take/post-take' set	ing. Simulation training	g and personal observation n	hay also be	
relevant.				
Please provide comments to	justify your rating and	l identify any areas of conce	rn or excellence [ma	
Has undertaken supervised a	cting-up and has ACATs	s and MCR that evidence per	forming to the requi	red standard. In
particular, comment was mad	de that Dr X managed t	he junior team well, commu	nicated with nursing	staff and other
specialities well and made co	nfident and sound judg	gements on a range of patien	ts (MCR – Acute Tak	e 27/3/2020).
Additional MCR completed by	y the Local Faculty Grou	up (LFG) in Acute Medicine (2	20/2/2020) also clea	rly states that Dr
X has been observed on the p standard.	oost take ward round (F	PTWR) by a number of the AN	A team performing t	o the required
Two Reg prep simulations have	ve been done. The ACA	T from the first raised some	issues around dealin	g with being
overwhelmed by pressure. Dr X also commented on this in a reflection (30/11/2019). This was discussed in our				
meeting (Additional Meeting 6/12/2019). In the subsequent simulation (5/5/2020) this was not raised as an issue.				
Comments in the MSF (31/10/2019) indicate no concerns around communication. There were also very positive				
comments from junior team members about Dr X being very supportive and 'calm'.				
A number of CbDs (12/12/2019, 14/2/20120) indicate good clinical knowledge. There was some guidance around				
becoming familiar with up-to	-date guidance on early	y stroke management.		
All mini-CEXs (covering exami	ination of CVS, Respirat	tory and Neurology systems)	were very positive v	vith no concerns
raised.				
The reflection on 'A difficult a	arrest' was insightful an	nd demonstrates a good style	/use of reflection af	ter a difficult
situation including an unders	tanding of Dr X's own e	emotional reaction, as well as	identifying clear lea	irning objectives
around the clinical aspects of	the case which were to	ransferred to a SMART PDP a	nd completed.	

Some areas for development include; ensure formal management plans are written up and that a case summary is written at the end of each clerking to aid presentation on the PTWR (ACAT 3/4/2020).

#### 2. Managing an acute specialty-related take

- Demonstrates professional behaviour with regard to patients, carers, colleagues and others
- Delivers patient centred care including shared decision making
- Takes a relevant patient history including patient symptoms, concerns, priorities and preferences
- Performs accurate clinical examinations
- Shows appropriate clinical reasoning by analysing physical and psychological findings
- Formulates an appropriate differential diagnosis
- Formulates an appropriate diagnostic and management plan, taking into account patient preferences, and the urgency required
- Explains clinical reasoning behind diagnostic and clinical management decisions to patients/carers/guardians and other colleagues
- Appropriately selects, manages and interprets investigations
- Demonstrates appropriate continuing management of acute medical illness in patients admitted to hospital on an acute unselected take or selected take

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
	V		

#### Please provide comments to justify your rating and identify any areas of concern or excellence.

Dr X has not had to manage a specific specialty on-call but has had to manage patients admitted to specialty wards in all three placements.

Information from three MCR indicate that he makes good clinical judgements of these patients. This includes picking up management plans initiated on admission and modifying them when necessary before and with the support of senior advice.

There are two mini-CEXs that were undertaken (1/11/2019, 2/2/2020) when he was observed picking up specialty patients. Both supported the above statement. It was commented that he was able to identify additional investigation needed from a specialty perspective.

# **3.** Providing continuity of care to medical in-patients, including management of comorbidities and cognitive impairment

- Demonstrates professional behaviour with regard to patients, carers, colleagues and others
- Delivers patient centred care including shared decision making
- Demonstrates effective consultation skills
- Formulates an appropriate diagnostic and management plan, taking into account patient preferences, and the urgency required
- Explains clinical reasoning behind diagnostic and clinical management decisions to patients/carers/guardians and other colleagues
- Demonstrates appropriate continuing management of acute medical illness in patients admitted to hospital on an acute unselected take or selected take
- Recognises need to liaise with specialty services and refers where appropriate Appropriately manages comorbidities in medial inpatients (unselected take, selected acute take or specialty admissions)
- Demonstrates awareness of the quality of patient experience

Level 1 Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
-----------------	---------	---------

		٧		
Please provide comments to justify your rating and identify any areas of concern or excellence				
From my own direct observations and comments recorded in all MCRs Dr X demonstrates a good degree of ability in managing a range of inpatient conditions that cover all the attributes required at this stage of training. At times when he is the most senior doctor on the ward, the ward runs well. Patients are seen and managed appropriately and ward rounds are well prepared and presented on. He also demonstrates a good ability to organise more junior colleagues. One MCR (1/11/2019) states specifically good participation in board rounds. No issues have been identified in the management of patients and indeed from all MCRs there is evidence that this is done well. He is able to explain his clinical reasoning and defend decisions. He frequently asks for feedback and acts on it. For improvement; presentation of cases could be more focused (MCR 2/2/2020, 5/4/2020 and my own observations).				
4. Managing patients in an term conditions)	outpatient clinic, ambulator	ry or community setting (incluc	ding management of long	
<ul> <li>Demonstrates professional behaviour with regard to patients, carers, colleagues and others</li> <li>Delivers patient centred care including shared decision making</li> <li>Demonstrates effective consultation skills</li> <li>Formulates an appropriate diagnostic and management plan, taking into account patient preferences</li> <li>Explains clinical reasoning behind diagnostic and clinical management decisions to patients/carers/guardians and other colleagues</li> <li>Appropriately manages comorbidities in outpatient clinic, ambulatory or community setting</li> <li>Demonstrates awareness of the quality of patient experience</li> </ul>				
Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	
Please provide comments to justify your rating and identify any areas of concern or excellence.         Dr X's logbook of clinic attendance demonstrates 22 clinics attended in a variety of specialties and settings.         There are a number of clinic letters in the Evidence Library which show a developing style of letter writing but that more structure is needed, e.g., highlighting GP actions needed. More practice and feedback is needed.         The mini-CEX (23/11/2019. 2/2/2020) both indicate a need to be more mindful of the time constraints of clinic work and this needs to be worked on in future. Dr X also needs to develop techniques to reinforce treatment/investigation plans to the patient and give the patient/relatives the opportunity to ask questions.         The CbDs (12/1/2020, 2/2/2020) emphasize a need for more structure in treatment/investigation plans.         The MCR (14/2/2020) this was done in an AEC setting and also emphasizes the above points				

5. Managing medical problems in patients in other specialties and special cases	5. Managi	ng medical	problems in	patients in other s	pecialties and sp	ecial cases
---	-----------	------------	-------------	---------------------	-------------------	-------------

- Demonstrates effective consultation skills (including when in challenging circumstances)
- Demonstrates management of medical problems in inpatients under the care of other specialties
- Demonstrates appropriate and timely liaison with other medical specialty services when required

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
	V		
- •			

#### Please provide comments to justify your rating and identify any areas of concern or excellence

Dr X has been seeing patients referred to respiratory medicine (placement 2) ahead of the senior opinion on the patient. The MCR (3/3/2020) comments that he made a good assessment of the patients and was able (with prompting to address the issues raised by the referring team. He presented the cases well to the consultant who later reviewed the patient and liaised well with the referring team.

He has also seen patients in outpatients referred for a respiratory review ahead of surgery. Feedback (CbD - 20/1/2020) indicates that this was done well.

#### 6. Managing an MDT including discharge planning

- Applies management and team working skills appropriately, including influencing, negotiating, continuously reassessing priorities and effectively managing complex, dynamic situations
- Ensures continuity and coordination of patient care through the appropriate transfer of information demonstrating safe and effective handover
- Effectively estimates length of stay
- Delivers patient centred care including shared decision making
- Identifies appropriate discharge plan
- Recognises the importance of prompt and accurate information sharing with primary care team following hospital discharge

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
	V		

Please provide comments to justify your rating and identify any areas of concern or excellence

In placement 2 (respiratory) Dr X presented patients at the ILD and cancer MDT. Feedback (in MCR 3/3/2020) indicates that this was done well.

He also engages well with the MDT during Board Rounds (own observation) and takes the opinions of other professionals into account as part of discharge planning.

#### 7. Delivering effective resuscitation and managing the deteriorating patient

• Demonstrates prompt assessment of the acutely deteriorating patient, including those who are shocked or unconscious

Demonstrates the professional requirements and legal processes associated with consent for resuscitation					
• Participates effectively in decision making with regard to resuscitation decisions, including decisions not to attempt					
CPR, and involves patient					
Level 1	ce in carrying out resuscitation	Level 3	Level 4		
Please provide comments to	justify your rating and identify	-	lence		
	justiny your rating and racitiny	any areas of concern of excer			
The LFG feedback from 18/1	L/2020 indicates that Dr X un	dertook the management of a	an acutely unwell patient		
admitted as an alert when th	ne registrar was attending anot	her urgent case. The feedback	indicates that he led the		
team well and made appropri	ate decisions until the registrar	was able to take over.			
Other LFG feedback indicates	no issues in this area.				
8. Managing end of life and a	pplying palliative care skills				
<ul> <li>Identifies patients with lin</li> </ul>	nited reversibility of their medi	cal condition and determines n	alliative and end of life care		
needs	inconcersionity of their fried	car condition and determines p			
	nt and develops an individualise	ed care plan, including anticipat	ory prescribing at end of life		
	fective use of syringe pumps in		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
• Able to manage non com	plex symptom control including	pain			
-	ecialist palliative care across all	-			
	onsultation skills in challenging				
Demonstrates compassionate professional behaviour and clinical judgement					
Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4		
<b></b>	V				
Please provide comments to justify your rating and identify any areas of concern or excellence					
There are a number of piece	s of evidence from the hospita	I secondary care team (MCEX	22/10/2019. 21/12/2019)		
	20) that indicate that Dr X has				
setting up plans around end-of-life care.					
He has done a good reflection on his personal feelings and how a particular case affected him ('Close to home').					